

ARE YOU WORKING HARD?

FIVE GRAND PRIZES.

First Grand Prize. House and Lot.

This house and lot is located in the best residence section of Washington, on Bryant street, two blocks north of W. and half a block west of North Capitol. This section is literally filled with homes such as we are giving away, the homes being owned by the dwellers themselves. The locality is dotted with churches and schools, and this particular home is within 300 feet of the second largest park in the District. It will be given to the contestant receiving the largest number of votes.

Second Grand Prize. White Steamer.

We purchased this automobile from the Dewey Garage, and it cost us \$2,250. We shall give it to the contestant receiving the second largest number of votes in this contest. This is a prize well worth the winning, being the very best machine obtainable at any price. Its advantages are innumerable, its excellence beyond dispute. You will find a world of pleasure in the possession of this car, which is easily yours if you want it. It is only a question of securing subscriptions to The Washington Herald.

Third Grand Prize. Columbia Electric.

This little car is the very height of perfection and elegance, and is particularly adapted to the use of professional men. It is also the safest car for both ladies and children. It will go to the contestant receiving the third largest number of votes in this contest. We paid the Dupont Garage \$1,600 for it, and we can assure you that it is worth every cent of the amount paid. For lightness, durability, and smooth running this car is in a class by itself. It is yours if you want it.

Depend Upon Yourself. Then Your Friends Will Help You.

WE have been asked if a contestant may sell his or her votes to another. We hasten to reply, CERTAINLY NOT. This would be unfair to every other contestant in the race. The votes which are credited to you are yours, and cannot be transferred to any one else. You who have been sitting idly by while others have been working among their friends—you must get to work. It is foolish to think that you may win anything, in this contest or any other, without an effort. Don't work to-day and lay off to-morrow. That is not the way to win. Keep at it. Remember, that Patient Plodding Persistently Prosecuted Produces Permanent Prosperity. A better motto could not be had. Make it yours. There's no use getting tired before the race is fairly started. Why, you're not really interested yet. When you become so, you will take extreme delight in accosting every friend you may meet; even your chance acquaintances will not be able to escape you. That's the way to work and the way to win. If you are not convinced of it now you will be when this contest is over. The ones who have put forth the most efforts are going to be named the winners. See if they're not.

FIVE GRAND PRIZES.

Fourth Grand Prize. A Building Lot.

This lot (which is practically "two" lots, Nos. 21 and 22) is located in beautiful Chevy Chase, on Morrison street east, just off Connecticut avenue. It has an actual value of \$2,350.50, but as we paid Thos. J. Fisher & Co. the sum of \$2,145 for it, we are advertising it as a \$2,145 lot. The explanation of this lies in the fact that the property, since the purchase by us, has advanced 10 per cent in value, which only goes to show what The Washington Herald is trying to do for itself and its readers.

Fifth Grand Prize. European Tour.

This tour completes the list of our five grand prizes, and will be given to the contestant receiving the fifth largest number of votes in this contest. The winner of this tour will not be compelled to travel alone among strangers, as we grant the privilege of your selecting any friend or relative whom you may wish to accompany you, the expenses of both to be borne by The Washington Herald. We consider this a great inducement to you, and will be surprised if you do not decide right away to enter this contest.

Other Prizes.

72 District Prizes.

These prizes are divided, four to each of the eighteen districts into which the territory covered by this contest has been divided, and are to be awarded to the contestants receiving the first, second, third, and fourth largest number of votes in their respective districts. With a total number of seventy-seven prizes to be given away, you can easily see what a wonderful opportunity you have of being a winner in this contest. You cannot do better than to send in your nomination to the Contest Manager at once.

First Period Will Close Next Saturday.

DAILY COURT RECORD

(Monday, April 26, 1909.)

Supreme Court of the United States.

Present: Mr. Justice Harlan, Mr. Justice Brandeis, Mr. Justice Peckham, Mr. Justice McKenna, Mr. Justice Holmes, Mr. Justice Day, and Mr. Justice McHugh.

J. R. M. Norton, of Alexandria, Va., vs. Albert H. Lenoir, of Mankato, Minn.; Willis V. Elliott, of Denver, Colo.; John W. Chapman, of Tazewell, Va.; John R. Beasley, of Portland, Ore.; Gerald Hughes, of Denver, Colo.; and E. A. Adger, of Anthony, Kan., were admitted to practice.

No. 123. The Sand Filtration Corporation of America, appellant, vs. Samuel P. Coward et al., appellees. On writ of certiorari to the District Court of Columbia, de quo. Affirmed with costs; opinion by Mr. Justice Holmes. Dissenting: Mr. Justice McKenna and Mr. Justice Day.

No. 124. The Metropolitan Trust Company of the City of New York, petitioner, vs. Central Trust Company of New York et al., petitioners. On writ of certiorari to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, de quo. Affirmed with costs; opinion by Mr. Justice Holmes.

No. 125. George W. Thomas, plaintiff in error, vs. South Side Elevated Railway Company, in error. On writ of certiorari to the Supreme Court of the State of Illinois. Per curiam: Writ of error dismissed for want of jurisdiction. Stevens, administrator, vs. Nichols, et al. No. 126. Union Pacific Railroad Company, plaintiff in error, vs. The State of Minnesota, in error. On writ of certiorari to the Supreme Court of the State of Minnesota. Per curiam: Judgment affirmed on authority of the Supreme Court of the State of Minnesota.

No. 127. The Fidelity and Casualty Company of New York, plaintiff in error, vs. The Southern Railway News Company, in error. On writ of certiorari to the Supreme Court of the State of Kentucky. Per curiam: Judgment affirmed on authority of the Supreme Court of the State of Kentucky.

No. 128. The Fidelity and Casualty Company of New York, plaintiff in error, vs. The Southern Railway News Company, in error. On writ of certiorari to the Supreme Court of the State of Kentucky. Per curiam: Judgment affirmed on authority of the Supreme Court of the State of Kentucky.

No. 129. The Fidelity and Casualty Company of New York, plaintiff in error, vs. The Southern Railway News Company, in error. On writ of certiorari to the Supreme Court of the State of Kentucky. Per curiam: Judgment affirmed on authority of the Supreme Court of the State of Kentucky.

No. 130. Original. Ex parte: In the matter of Isaac Heller, petitioner; motion for leave to file a petition for a writ of mandamus issued by the District Court of Columbia, de quo. Affirmed with costs; opinion by Mr. Justice Holmes.

No. 131. Original. Ex parte: In the matter of Isaac Heller, petitioner; motion for leave to file a petition for a writ of mandamus issued by the District Court of Columbia, de quo. Affirmed with costs; opinion by Mr. Justice Holmes.

No. 132. Original. Ex parte: In the matter of Isaac Heller, petitioner; motion for leave to file a petition for a writ of mandamus issued by the District Court of Columbia, de quo. Affirmed with costs; opinion by Mr. Justice Holmes.

No. 133. Original. Ex parte: In the matter of Isaac Heller, petitioner; motion for leave to file a petition for a writ of mandamus issued by the District Court of Columbia, de quo. Affirmed with costs; opinion by Mr. Justice Holmes.

No. 134. Original. Ex parte: In the matter of Isaac Heller, petitioner; motion for leave to file a petition for a writ of mandamus issued by the District Court of Columbia, de quo. Affirmed with costs; opinion by Mr. Justice Holmes.

No. 135. Original. Ex parte: In the matter of Isaac Heller, petitioner; motion for leave to file a petition for a writ of mandamus issued by the District Court of Columbia, de quo. Affirmed with costs; opinion by Mr. Justice Holmes.

No. 136. Original. Ex parte: In the matter of Isaac Heller, petitioner; motion for leave to file a petition for a writ of mandamus issued by the District Court of Columbia, de quo. Affirmed with costs; opinion by Mr. Justice Holmes.

No. 137. Original. Ex parte: In the matter of Isaac Heller, petitioner; motion for leave to file a petition for a writ of mandamus issued by the District Court of Columbia, de quo. Affirmed with costs; opinion by Mr. Justice Holmes.

No. 138. Original. Ex parte: In the matter of Isaac Heller, petitioner; motion for leave to file a petition for a writ of mandamus issued by the District Court of Columbia, de quo. Affirmed with costs; opinion by Mr. Justice Holmes.

DISTRICT COURTS.
Court of Appeals.
Adjudged from day to day.

Equity Court No. 1.
JUSTICE BARNARD.
No. 2868. McKerrill vs. Rhen et al.; rule returnable April 30. Attorneys, Pennebaker, Carusi & Jones.

Equity Court No. 2.
JUSTICE WRIGHT.
No. 2869. McKerrill vs. Rhen et al.; rule returnable April 30. Attorneys, Pennebaker, Carusi & Jones.

Equity Court No. 3.
JUSTICE WRIGHT.
No. 2870. McKerrill vs. Rhen et al.; rule returnable April 30. Attorneys, Pennebaker, Carusi & Jones.

Equity Court No. 4.
JUSTICE WRIGHT.
No. 2871. McKerrill vs. Rhen et al.; rule returnable April 30. Attorneys, Pennebaker, Carusi & Jones.

Equity Court No. 5.
JUSTICE WRIGHT.
No. 2872. McKerrill vs. Rhen et al.; rule returnable April 30. Attorneys, Pennebaker, Carusi & Jones.

Equity Court No. 6.
JUSTICE WRIGHT.
No. 2873. McKerrill vs. Rhen et al.; rule returnable April 30. Attorneys, Pennebaker, Carusi & Jones.

Equity Court No. 7.
JUSTICE WRIGHT.
No. 2874. McKerrill vs. Rhen et al.; rule returnable April 30. Attorneys, Pennebaker, Carusi & Jones.

Equity Court No. 8.
JUSTICE WRIGHT.
No. 2875. McKerrill vs. Rhen et al.; rule returnable April 30. Attorneys, Pennebaker, Carusi & Jones.

Equity Court No. 9.
JUSTICE WRIGHT.
No. 2876. McKerrill vs. Rhen et al.; rule returnable April 30. Attorneys, Pennebaker, Carusi & Jones.

Equity Court No. 10.
JUSTICE WRIGHT.
No. 2877. McKerrill vs. Rhen et al.; rule returnable April 30. Attorneys, Pennebaker, Carusi & Jones.

Equity Court No. 11.
JUSTICE WRIGHT.
No. 2878. McKerrill vs. Rhen et al.; rule returnable April 30. Attorneys, Pennebaker, Carusi & Jones.

Equity Court No. 12.
JUSTICE WRIGHT.
No. 2879. McKerrill vs. Rhen et al.; rule returnable April 30. Attorneys, Pennebaker, Carusi & Jones.

Equity Court No. 13.
JUSTICE WRIGHT.
No. 2880. McKerrill vs. Rhen et al.; rule returnable April 30. Attorneys, Pennebaker, Carusi & Jones.

Equity Court No. 14.
JUSTICE WRIGHT.
No. 2881. McKerrill vs. Rhen et al.; rule returnable April 30. Attorneys, Pennebaker, Carusi & Jones.

Equity Court No. 15.
JUSTICE WRIGHT.
No. 2882. McKerrill vs. Rhen et al.; rule returnable April 30. Attorneys, Pennebaker, Carusi & Jones.

Equity Court No. 16.
JUSTICE WRIGHT.
No. 2883. McKerrill vs. Rhen et al.; rule returnable April 30. Attorneys, Pennebaker, Carusi & Jones.

Equity Court No. 17.
JUSTICE WRIGHT.
No. 2884. McKerrill vs. Rhen et al.; rule returnable April 30. Attorneys, Pennebaker, Carusi & Jones.

Equity Court No. 18.
JUSTICE WRIGHT.
No. 2885. McKerrill vs. Rhen et al.; rule returnable April 30. Attorneys, Pennebaker, Carusi & Jones.

Equity Court No. 19.
JUSTICE WRIGHT.
No. 2886. McKerrill vs. Rhen et al.; rule returnable April 30. Attorneys, Pennebaker, Carusi & Jones.

Equity Court No. 20.
JUSTICE WRIGHT.
No. 2887. McKerrill vs. Rhen et al.; rule returnable April 30. Attorneys, Pennebaker, Carusi & Jones.

Equity Court No. 21.
JUSTICE WRIGHT.
No. 2888. McKerrill vs. Rhen et al.; rule returnable April 30. Attorneys, Pennebaker, Carusi & Jones.

Equity Court No. 22.
JUSTICE WRIGHT.
No. 2889. McKerrill vs. Rhen et al.; rule returnable April 30. Attorneys, Pennebaker, Carusi & Jones.

Equity Court No. 23.
JUSTICE WRIGHT.
No. 2890. McKerrill vs. Rhen et al.; rule returnable April 30. Attorneys, Pennebaker, Carusi & Jones.

Equity Court No. 24.
JUSTICE WRIGHT.
No. 2891. McKerrill vs. Rhen et al.; rule returnable April 30. Attorneys, Pennebaker, Carusi & Jones.

Equity Court No. 25.
JUSTICE WRIGHT.
No. 2892. McKerrill vs. Rhen et al.; rule returnable April 30. Attorneys, Pennebaker, Carusi & Jones.

Equity Court No. 26.
JUSTICE WRIGHT.
No. 2893. McKerrill vs. Rhen et al.; rule returnable April 30. Attorneys, Pennebaker, Carusi & Jones.

Equity Court No. 27.
JUSTICE WRIGHT.
No. 2894. McKerrill vs. Rhen et al.; rule returnable April 30. Attorneys, Pennebaker, Carusi & Jones.

Equity Court No. 28.
JUSTICE WRIGHT.
No. 2895. McKerrill vs. Rhen et al.; rule returnable April 30. Attorneys, Pennebaker, Carusi & Jones.

Equity Court No. 29.
JUSTICE WRIGHT.
No. 2896. McKerrill vs. Rhen et al.; rule returnable April 30. Attorneys, Pennebaker, Carusi & Jones.

Equity Court No. 30.
JUSTICE WRIGHT.
No. 2897. McKerrill vs. Rhen et al.; rule returnable April 30. Attorneys, Pennebaker, Carusi & Jones.

Equity Court No. 31.
JUSTICE WRIGHT.
No. 2898. McKerrill vs. Rhen et al.; rule returnable April 30. Attorneys, Pennebaker, Carusi & Jones.

Equity Court No. 32.
JUSTICE WRIGHT.
No. 2899. McKerrill vs. Rhen et al.; rule returnable April 30. Attorneys, Pennebaker, Carusi & Jones.

Equity Court No. 33.
JUSTICE WRIGHT.
No. 2900. McKerrill vs. Rhen et al.; rule returnable April 30. Attorneys, Pennebaker, Carusi & Jones.

Equity Court No. 34.
JUSTICE WRIGHT.
No. 2901. McKerrill vs. Rhen et al.; rule returnable April 30. Attorneys, Pennebaker, Carusi & Jones.

Equity Court No. 35.
JUSTICE WRIGHT.
No. 2902. McKerrill vs. Rhen et al.; rule returnable April 30. Attorneys, Pennebaker, Carusi & Jones.

Equity Court No. 36.
JUSTICE WRIGHT.
No. 2903. McKerrill vs. Rhen et al.; rule returnable April 30. Attorneys, Pennebaker, Carusi & Jones.

Equity Court No. 37.
JUSTICE WRIGHT.
No. 2904. McKerrill vs. Rhen et al.; rule returnable April 30. Attorneys, Pennebaker, Carusi & Jones.

Equity Court No. 38.
JUSTICE WRIGHT.
No. 2905. McKerrill vs. Rhen et al.; rule returnable April 30. Attorneys, Pennebaker, Carusi & Jones.

Equity Court No. 39.
JUSTICE WRIGHT.
No. 2906. McKerrill vs. Rhen et al.; rule returnable April 30. Attorneys, Pennebaker, Carusi & Jones.

Equity Court No. 40.
JUSTICE WRIGHT.
No. 2907. McKerrill vs. Rhen et al.; rule returnable April 30. Attorneys, Pennebaker, Carusi & Jones.

Equity Court No. 41.
JUSTICE WRIGHT.
No. 2908. McKerrill vs. Rhen et al.; rule returnable April 30. Attorneys, Pennebaker, Carusi & Jones.

Equity Court No. 42.
JUSTICE WRIGHT.
No. 2909. McKerrill vs. Rhen et al.; rule returnable April 30. Attorneys, Pennebaker, Carusi & Jones.

Equity Court No. 43.
JUSTICE WRIGHT.
No. 2910. McKerrill vs. Rhen et al.; rule returnable April 30. Attorneys, Pennebaker, Carusi & Jones.

Equity Court No. 44.
JUSTICE WRIGHT.
No. 2911. McKerrill vs. Rhen et al.; rule returnable April 30. Attorneys, Pennebaker, Carusi & Jones.

Equity Court No. 45.
JUSTICE WRIGHT.
No. 2912. McKerrill vs. Rhen et al.; rule returnable April 30. Attorneys, Pennebaker, Carusi & Jones.

Equity Court No. 46.
JUSTICE WRIGHT.
No. 2913. McKerrill vs. Rhen et al.; rule returnable April 30. Attorneys, Pennebaker, Carusi & Jones.

Equity Court No. 47.
JUSTICE WRIGHT.
No. 2914. McKerrill vs. Rhen et al.; rule returnable April 30. Attorneys, Pennebaker, Carusi & Jones.

Equity Court No. 48.
JUSTICE WRIGHT.
No. 2915. McKerrill vs. Rhen et al.; rule returnable April 30. Attorneys, Pennebaker, Carusi & Jones.

Equity Court No. 49.
JUSTICE WRIGHT.
No. 2916. McKerrill vs. Rhen et al.; rule returnable April 30. Attorneys, Pennebaker, Carusi & Jones.

Equity Court No. 50.
JUSTICE WRIGHT.
No. 2917. McKerrill vs. Rhen et al.; rule returnable April 30. Attorneys, Pennebaker, Carusi & Jones.

Equity Court No. 51.
JUSTICE WRIGHT.
No. 2918. McKerrill vs. Rhen et al.; rule returnable April 30. Attorneys, Pennebaker, Carusi & Jones.

Equity Court No. 52.
JUSTICE WRIGHT.
No. 2919. McKerrill vs. Rhen et al.; rule returnable April 30. Attorneys, Pennebaker, Carusi & Jones.

Equity Court No. 53.
JUSTICE WRIGHT.
No. 2920. McKerrill vs. Rhen et al.; rule returnable April 30. Attorneys, Pennebaker, Carusi & Jones.